

Pages 1 - 23

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BEFORE THE HONORABLE EDWARD M. CHEN, JUDGE

In Re CARRIER IQ, INC.)	
Consumer Privacy Litigation.)	
_____)	NO. C12-md-2330 EMC
)	
This proceeding relates to)	
all member and related cases.)	
_____)	San Francisco, California
)	Tuesday, April 15, 2014

TRANSCRIPT OF OFFICIAL ELECTRONIC SOUND RECORDING
OF PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiffs:	Pearson, Simon & Warshaw, LLP
	44 Montgomery Street, Suite 1200
	San Francisco, California 94104
BY:	BRUCE L. SIMON, ESQ.
	WILLIAM J. NEWSOM, ESQ.

For Defendant:	Fenwick & West
(Carrier IQ, Inc.)	Silicon Valley Center
	801 California Street
	Mountain View, California 94041
BY:	RODGER R. COLE, ESQ.
	TYLER G. NEWBY, ESQ.

For Defendant:	Winston & Strawn, LLP
(Motorola Mobility)	101 California Street
	San Francisco, California 94111
BY:	SETH WEISBURST, ESQ.

(Appearances continued on following page.)

Transcribed by:	Leo T. Mankiewicz, Transcriber
	leomank@gmail.com
	(415) 722-7045

APPEARANCES: (cont.)

For Defendant: H.C. Park & Associates, LLC
(Pantech Wireless) 1894 Preston White Drive
Reston, Virginia 20191

BY: **OLUWASEN AJAYI, ESQ.**

For Defendant: Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom
(Samsung) 300 South Grand Avenue, Suite 3400
Los Angeles, California 90071

BY: **SHERYL LEUNG, ESQ.**

For Defendant: Greenberg Traurig, LLP
(LG USA) 1900 University Avenue, 5th floor
East Palo Alto, California 94303

BY: **IAN C. BALLON, ESQ.**

For Defendant: Covington & Burling
(Huawei Devices) One Front Street
San Francisco, California 94111

BY: **SIMON J. FRANKEL, ESQ.** (by telephone)

For Defendant: Munger, Tolles & Olson
(HTC America, Inc.) 560 Mission Street, 27th floor
San Francisco, California 94105-2907

BY: **BRYAN H. HECKENLIVELY, ESQ.**

---o0o---

1 Tuesday, April 15, 2014.

2 (Transcriber's Note: Where counsel have failed to
3 identify themselves before speaking during the colloquy,
4 speaker attributions are based on BEST GUESS.)

5 10:35 a.m.

6 P R O C E E D I N G S

7 **THE CLERK:** Calling case C12-2330, In re: Carrier
8 IQ. Counsel, please come to the podium and state your name for
9 the record.

10 Mr. Frankel, please go ahead and say your name for
11 the record.

12 **MR. FRANKEL:** Good morning, your Honor. Simon
13 Frankel of Covington & Burling, for defendant Huawei.

14 **THE COURT:** All right, thank you, Mr. Frankel.

15 **MR. SIMON:** Good morning, your Honor. Bruce Simon
16 on behalf of plaintiffs. I have Mr. Newsom from my office with
17 me, as well.

18 **THE COURT:** All right, good morning.

19 **MR. COLE:** Good morning, your Honor. Rodger Cole of
20 Fenwick & West for Carrier IQ.

21 **MR. NEWBY:** Tyler Newby of Fenwick & West, also for
22 Carrier IQ.

23 **THE COURT:** All right, good morning.

24 **MR. BALLON:** Ian Ballon, Greenberg Traurig, for LG
25 Electronics MobileComm, U.S.A.

1 **MR. HECKENLIVELY:** Good morning, your Honor. Brian
2 Heckenlively from Munger, Tolles & Olson for HTC America.

3 **MS. LEUNG:** Good morning, your Honor. Cheryl Leung
4 from Skadden Arps for Samsung Telecommunications America.

5 **MR. AJAYI:** Good morning, your Honor. Oluwasen
6 Ajayi from H.C. Park & Associates for Pantech Wireless.

7 **MR. WEISBURST:** Good morning. Seth Weisburst from
8 Winston & Strawn for Defendant Motorola Mobility, LLC.

9 **THE COURT:** All right. Okay, just a reminder,
10 counsel, since we don't have a court reporter, but this is on
11 our digital recording system, you need to state your name,
12 given the number of counsel that we have, before speaking.

13 So let me ask, I didn't realize that there is an
14 issue of service on some of the defendant entities? What's
15 going on with that?

16 **MR. SIMON:** Well, we kind of put that off while we
17 did the motion to compel, based, in part, on a discussion that
18 we had that they may accept service, and that discussion is
19 still ongoing, but we've had no commitment.

20 There's three companies, HTC Corp., which is a
21 Taiwanese parent company of one of the entities in the case,
22 LG Electronics, which is the South Korean parent company of one
23 of the entities in the case, and Samsung Electronics
24 Corporation, which is also a South Korean parent of one of the
25 companies in the case.

1 I will say my experience has been, in some of the
2 major cases that we've had, including multiple cases with LG
3 and Samsung, that they have accepted service and have appeared,
4 so that, you know, all motions could be heard on a simultaneous
5 track, but in certain instances where that didn't happen, your
6 Honor, we went forward and did motions to dismiss as to the
7 entities that were here, and a couple of judges have issued
8 orders that to the extent they get served and brought in, then
9 those orders will apply unless there are individual
10 circumstances that are raised -- that raise different issues.

11 However, if they don't accept service, our best
12 estimate is is that since the Taiwanese HTC entity is not under
13 the Hague, you have to proceed by letters rogatory and it can
14 take up to six months. You have to get translations and go
15 through a bunch of procedures which I know the Court is
16 familiar with.

17 LG and Samsung are under the Hague, in South Korea,
18 and it's about a three-month process; easier, but nonetheless,
19 you still have to go through translating complaints and doing a
20 lot of things. It's not inexpensive. It can be, you know, 10
21 or 20 thousand dollars just to get the translations and do
22 these things.

23 And so I guess I would encourage the defendants to
24 consider accepting service, avoiding the delay of having to go
25 through this, and move on with the merits of the case.

1 **THE COURT:** How about on the defense side?

2 **MR. COLE:** Your Honor, this is Rodger Cole for
3 Carrier IQ. I'll let counsel for each of those entities
4 address that issue.

5 **THE COURT:** Okay.

6 **MR. HECKENLIVELY:** Your Honor, Brian Heckenlively
7 for HTC. We're still considering whether we'll accept service,
8 and we'll advise the plaintiffs' counsel promptly once we make
9 a decision on that issue.

10 **THE COURT:** When will the decision be made?

11 **MR. HECKENLIVELY:** Your Honor, that's something that
12 we've been working on since your order issued last month.
13 I think that's something we can do within a few weeks.

14 **THE COURT:** I'm just sort of curious what the
15 thinking is. I mean, it's not like there's no personal
16 jurisdiction here. It's not like this case is not going to go
17 forward and it's not like it's going to happen anyway.

18 So what's the problem?

19 **MR. HECKENLIVELY:** Your Honor, I think that's just
20 an internal decision that the company is making. I can't speak
21 specifically to the reasoning, but I know that's something on
22 which discussions are ongoing and it's something that we've
23 been discussing with plaintiffs' counsel since the cases were
24 first filed, and we just sort of put off for a while and now
25 has come back to the court.

1 **THE COURT:** Well, let me make it clear. This case
2 has been pending a long time, and I've put this case on a slow
3 track because you all wanted to do a massive amount of
4 discovery for a motion to compel arbitration; and I indulged
5 the parties, and this case has now been pending since 2012.
6 It's two years old and we haven't even gotten to the motions to
7 dismiss. So I would advise your client to put the pedal to the
8 metal and let's get going.

9 **MR. HECKENLIVELY:** Absolutely.

10 **THE COURT:** If they don't, I'm going to proceed and
11 we'll go ahead and schedule motions, and if they don't
12 participate, they're -- of course I will give them due process,
13 but they're going to lose some opportunity. So make that clear
14 to them, that we're moving forward.

15 **MR. HECKENLIVELY:** That's understood, your Honor.
16 Thank you.

17 **THE COURT:** All right? Who's next?

18 Has there been another case where Samsung has
19 refused in this district to accept service in a major case, a
20 patent case, for instance? Did Apple have to go through the
21 Hague Convention? Well, you ought to send that message to your
22 client, okay? Thank you.

23 **MR. BALLON:** Your Honor, Ian Ballon for LG USA.
24 We're new to the case. We have actually not had discussions
25 directly with plaintiff. We had understood that LG USA was the

1 only defendant in this case, and learned in connection with the
2 meet-and-confer for the statement that there's also an issue --
3 there's a present issue. I'd like to hear from plaintiffs'
4 counsel. Perhaps we can confer after and get back in touch
5 with my client very quickly.

6 **THE COURT:** When was your client brought into this
7 case?

8 **MR. BALLON:** Our client was brought in originally,
9 but we substituted in as counsel about two months ago. LG was
10 represented by a different --

11 **THE COURT:** Oh, yes, because I always thought LG was
12 here. You're saying you've been brought in lately.

13 **MR. BALLON:** Yes.

14 **MR. SIMON:** I mean, I'd be happy to confer, I always
15 am, but I've pretty much said what our position is and I would
16 say the same thing in our meet-and-confer. So I am happy to
17 talk, but I've expressed our position about the expense and
18 inconvenience of having to go through service.

19 **THE COURT:** Okay. All right, but what I've said to
20 the other defendants applies equally to LG, okay?

21 Well, so then the next step, the next question is,
22 assuming we resolve the service issues sooner rather than
23 later, is where we go from here.

24 I take it defendants, maybe some or all, are going
25 to file a notice of appeal. What's the timing of that?

1 **MR. COLE:** Your Honor, we have to file the notice of
2 appeal by the end of the month -- by this April 28th, right?
3 That's correct, your Honor.

4 **THE COURT:** Okay, and will you be then moving
5 simultaneously for a stay pending appeal --

6 **MR. COLE:** Yes, we will, your Honor.

7 **THE COURT:** -- that date? So by no later than
8 May 28th, we'll have a motion for stay before this court,
9 correct?

10 **MR. SIMON:** No, your Honor. I would say, you know,
11 this implicates the whole schedule, because you start piling
12 these dates on top of each other, and I frankly think the
13 scheduling, given even the plaintiffs' schedule, is too
14 elongated. I think their motion to stay could be brought at
15 the same time as their appeal, and we could actually have
16 everything heard by the first week of June, and that seems to
17 be the way to go. I mean --

18 **THE COURT:** I thought that's what I just said, that
19 they would file their motion --

20 **MR. SIMON:** Oh, okay, I'm sorry. Maybe I misheard
21 you. You said May 28th. It's --

22 **THE COURT:** Oh, I meant --

23 **MR. SIMON:** -- April 28th.

24 **THE COURT:** April, I'm sorry. I meant the same time
25 they file their notice of appeal, they file their notice of

1 motion, and I don't see any reason why this would take any more
2 than the normal notice period. This is not --

3 **MR. SIMON:** Normal notice period and normal page
4 limits, from our perspective.

5 **MR. COLE:** Your Honor, may I make two comments on
6 this?

7 **THE COURT:** Yes.

8 **MR. COLE:** First of all, I genuinely understand your
9 Honor's frustration with this case has been pending for two
10 years, but if I may, let's take a step back.

11 We've -- the case was transferred to this court in
12 April of 2012. The plaintiffs filed their consolidated
13 complaint in August. We filed our motion to compel arbitration
14 in November of 2012. Plaintiffs asked for discovery on the
15 arbitration. We resisted that very strongly, arguing to this
16 Court that the only thing that the Court needed to consider
17 were the allegations of the Complaint and the contracts at
18 issue.

19 Plaintiffs pushed discovery, and for 15 months took
20 extensive discovery from the parties and third parties, and
21 then in their opposition to the motion to compel, cited one
22 piece of evidence, in a footnote, that was revealed in the
23 course of that 15 months of discovery.

24 So I just wanted to make sure that the Court's --

25 **THE COURT:** I'm not casting any blame on the

1 process. What I'm saying is that on things like accepting
2 service of process, there's no reason that that should incur
3 another six-month delay.

4 Now, I know you've talked about a fairly elongated
5 schedule with respect to the motions to dismiss, and we can
6 talk about that, but I'm just saying, sort of, we're going to
7 move things along at this point.

8 **MR. COLE:** All for it, your Honor.

9 **MR. SIMON:** And just for the record, I mean, I won't
10 go through it, but we disagree with Mr. Cole's one-sided
11 characterization of the time that went by.

12 **THE COURT:** Well, it is what it is at this point and
13 I'm where I am at this point and we're going to move this
14 along. So that's why I want to set some clear dates. Your
15 notice of appeal is due no later than the 28th. I expect you
16 to file your motion for stay at that -- at the same time you
17 file your notice of appeal.

18 **MR. COLE:** Up till May 15th to file that, and let me
19 just say for the very simple reason, all due respect to my
20 esteemed colleagues, having six or seven different clients
21 commenting on a motion is something that takes, I've learned in
22 this case, takes a lot longer than anyone would expect. So if
23 we could just have until May 15th to file the motion, I would
24 appreciate it. It's two additional weeks.

25 **MR. SIMON:** First of all, maybe we should get a

1 handle on a couple things, like, is it going to be one motion
2 within the page limits? It should be. Their basis for the
3 stay is completely the same as to all defendants.

4 Secondly, as we know from the law, it's completely
5 discretionary with the Court to grant the stay or not at this
6 point in time and, you know, given the factors that are
7 involved, this should be a very quick briefing schedule. Your
8 Honor knows what it means to grant a stay, knows what the law
9 is on it. So I see no need for any further time needed.

10 **MR. COLE:** Your Honor, we agree one motion -- one
11 brief and one motion to stay, normal briefing schedule, normal
12 page limits. We would agree with all that. I just -- we
13 literally -- the final decision -- the final defendant decided
14 yesterday to join the notice of appeal, so we have not had an
15 opportunity to start the motion to stay, and I'm just asking
16 your Honor for two additional weeks because of the number of
17 parties involved.

18 **THE COURT:** Well, the problem is, because you're
19 also suggesting then the -- that that affects what goes on down
20 the line with respect to a motion to dismiss, and that doesn't
21 start -- the clock doesn't start until I rule on the motion for
22 stay, and so everything -- and then you're asking for a rather
23 elongated schedule on the motion to dismiss, and I do want to
24 hear why you need triple or quadruple the normal amount of time
25 but, you know, by the time we finally get to the motion to

1 dismiss, you know, we're going to get almost towards the end of
2 year, and that's just on a motion to dismiss. We haven't
3 talked about anything else in this case, and then we've got
4 this question about this second amended complaint and how
5 that's going to play into it.

6 And so with respect to this first question, I guess
7 I don't understand what's -- everybody's going to make
8 essentially the same arguments. I don't see multiple arguments
9 from -- maybe I'm missing something -- multiple defendants.
10 This is not rocket science. The standards are fairly clear.
11 So I don't see -- and you have plenty of resources here. This
12 is a well staffed case. And so I really don't see the need for
13 an extension.

14 **MR. COLE:** Your Honor, how about at least one
15 additional week? You're giving us 13 days? We just decided --
16 the last defendant just decided yesterday. Could we have till
17 May 7th?

18 **MR. SIMON:** I don't have an objection to that, and
19 I think we're going to end up with a schedule that's much
20 shorter on the motions to dismiss than we propose, so I think
21 we'll make it.

22 **THE COURT:** All right, May 7th for the motion, and
23 then the normal 35 briefing schedule, and that will be heard --
24 Betty, 35? -- June 12th at 1:30, hearing on the motion for
25 stay.

1 And then, now let's talk about the motion to
2 dismiss, but then I'm hearing you want to file a Second Amended
3 Complaint? Oh, following defendant's motion to dismiss.

4 **MR. SIMON:** Right.

5 **THE COURT:** Is that what you're...?

6 **MR. SIMON:** I think that -- I went through this all
7 in my mind, and just indulge me for a second, I've played it
8 all out, because I think very simplistically about these
9 things, because I like to organize my life, as does the Court
10 and defense counsel.

11 So if we played it out the way it's currently laid
12 out, with the decision on the motion to stay triggering the
13 motions to dismiss, basically I've got -- you know, and
14 I didn't account for the dates we set in the extra week, but
15 I've basically got us in early November before the motion to
16 dismiss briefing is done, and -- because 150 days, according to
17 the defendants' proposal -- and we even proposed too long.
18 I mean, I would consider even withdrawing our proposal.

19 And as far as the amendment, I think, you know, it's
20 the first go-round on motions to dismiss. The things that we
21 would amend to do would, I don't think, necessarily make that
22 much difference on the motions to dismiss, although we'll take
23 a look at them, and if those motions to dismiss come in and
24 they raise issues that we think we can amend because we have
25 facts from the other discovery or whatever, we would ask the

1 Court permission perhaps to do that, but I would not put in a
2 date first us to amend before they bring their motion to
3 dismiss.

4 I think one thing that would be very helpful here
5 is -- again, this is not rocket science, and we've, like,
6 briefed a lot of these issues in the context of the motion to
7 compel arbitration. I know the standard is different and
8 everything else, but we've really scrutinized what the
9 Complaint says and what it doesn't say, and your Honor's made
10 comments about what you understand and things that might be
11 ambiguous and that type of thing, and I'm wondering what
12 they're going to move on, whether we're going to get one
13 motion, you know, five motions, and it seems to me we can
14 expedite that schedule and perhaps maybe defendants, if you set
15 a date by which they let you know what their basis for their
16 motions to dismiss are going to be, we'll know whether we're
17 going to have more issues or less issues prior to the time that
18 they're actually filed.

19 **THE COURT:** Have you met and conferred at all about
20 what the subject and the grounds for the motions are and what
21 might be obviated by process of an amendment?

22 **MR. SIMON:** Only superficially, and we -- we would
23 be happy to do that.

24 **THE COURT:** And that makes some sense.

25 **MR. COLE:** Your Honor, we've had asked -- the

1 plaintiffs have stated unequivocally that they intend to file
2 an amended complaint. We've asked them to show us a draft of
3 that amended complaint and a redline comparing to what it is
4 now, so we can see whether or not we'll stipulate to the
5 amended complaint.

6 Plaintiffs bear the burden of stating their claim.
7 It seems to me if they intend to file an amended complaint,
8 they should do it, and then we should file the motions to
9 dismiss, if we have one.

10 **THE COURT:** Well, you know, probably this is
11 chicken-or-egg. He wants to see what your grounds are so he
12 can see what he may have to amend, and you want to see what
13 he's going to amend anyway, so you know what the grounds are --

14 **MR. SIMON:** No, no. I don't want to hide the ball
15 at all. I mean, we -- some of the possible areas of amendment
16 would be the fact that we know, you know, that HTC, you know,
17 had an FTC investigation and there's certain transmittal of
18 consumer content through some of their systems, including
19 something called Tel HTC, which is a tool that they have.

20 So there are areas of possible amendment. I don't
21 think, frankly, although I don't know what their motions to
22 dismiss are going to be, that we necessarily have something we
23 would amend that would alleviate their motions to dismiss.

24 **THE COURT:** Well, but it may shape certain things.
25 The last thing I want to do is, you have some stuff now that

1 you know about that you could amend now, but you think may not
2 be that relevant. They bring their motion, ends up it is
3 relevant, and then he's, okay, let's go back and now I'm going
4 to amend it, and I understand that we go through yet another
5 round, and every time we do this, we're -- you know, that's
6 why -- it seems to me that, why not meet and confer and try to
7 narrow....

8 There's clearly going to be some allegations are
9 going to be in there that you're going to contest and I'm sure
10 you're not going to just admit to the complaint, I assume, but
11 why not meet and confer, you reveal what you have in mind
12 currently in terms of things you may want to add to the amended
13 complaint, second amended complaint, you disclose at least the
14 bases that you're going to bring, and maybe apparent which are
15 the key issues that we can tee up rather than going through
16 iterations of this thing.

17 **MR. COLE:** All for that, your Honor, and once we do
18 that, it makes sense that the amended complaint should be
19 filed, and then we file the motion to dismiss. Otherwise --

20 **THE COURT:** I tend to agree with that. I'd rather
21 have your best shot now and their best shot, but only after
22 there's been refining, not sort of guessing and throwing arrows
23 in the dark.

24 **MR. SIMON:** Right, and I just want to say, we may go
25 through that discussion and depending on what they say they're

1 going to move to dismiss on, we may sit pat on our Complaint.

2 **THE COURT:** And that's fine. I mean, you know, you
3 want to sit on it, that's fine. At least it's an informed
4 decision at that point, and not --

5 **MR. SIMON:** We're happy to do that, and we're happy
6 to do that on an abbreviated schedule, where we do that over
7 the next couple of weeks. So if you give us a deadline by
8 which to complete the meet-and-confer and report to you --

9 **THE COURT:** Well, let's do that. Maybe we should
10 reconvene here and let me know what you all come up with, and
11 then we can set the schedule at that point, whether you're
12 going to file a new complaint and then key off of that, or
13 whether you're ready to now move on the schedule, and then I'd
14 like you to also meet and confer -- frankly, no matter how
15 complicated things are, I don't see the justification for a
16 hundred-and-something day, 150-day, you know, schedule. That's
17 like four times the normal amount.

18 I know this is complicated and I assume this
19 probably has to do with all the different state laws that are
20 involved, and is that part of the complexity of this, as well
21 as the novelty of some of the federal issues?

22 **MR. COLE:** Just take note that, Mr. Simon's desire
23 to get the case moving. Their preferred schedule is only 15
24 days shorter than ours, and it was shorter the last time --

25 **THE COURT:** I didn't like their schedule, either.

1 **MR. SIMON:** Yeah, and I don't like our schedule,
2 either, and frankly, had I looked at it more closely, and...

3 **THE COURT:** Okay.

4 **MR. COLE:** One other point, your Honor, is that as
5 far as the meeting-and-conferring goes, happy to come back to
6 the Court and report on that. Of course, we think that that
7 should happen after the motion to stay is decided. We will
8 meet and confer in the interim, but as far as a report back to
9 the Court....

10 **THE COURT:** Well, let's do it this way: Let's meet
11 and confer, with or without -- I mean, there's no reason why
12 you can't meet and confer. We can then -- question is maybe,
13 you know, we could just use the hearing on that as a further
14 status, and the problem is that's almost two months from now,
15 and I'd rather know what you're doing before then.

16 **MR. SIMON:** About, I think -- did you just set
17 May 7th for filing your motion?

18 **THE COURT:** That's when the filing of the motion is.

19 **MR. SIMON:** We could either come back that day or
20 the following week, the 14th, and report to you, and have our
21 meet-and-confer done in advance of that.

22 **THE COURT:** Let's have a short status on the 14th
23 and let me know, with your meet-and-confer --

24 **MR. SIMON:** May 15th. What time would that be?
25 Okay.

1 **THE COURT:** Confer about the second amended
2 complaint and the motion to dismiss, and see if you can focus
3 in and alleviate multiple iterations of, dismiss, amended
4 complaint, dismiss, another amended complaint. That's what
5 I want to avoid.

6 **MR. COLE:** That would be fine, your Honor.

7 **THE COURT:** Be able to decide all the issues in
8 one... Then at that point, I'd like you to also, as part of
9 meet-and-confer, to see if you can come up with a more
10 reasonable spacing in terms of the sequence of things. I mean,
11 frankly, even if we doubled the normal time that people get,
12 that at least it's done within 70 days, not 150 days.

13 **MR. SIMON:** Well, I'm going to take responsibility
14 for that, because I presumed that it would be shorter, and then
15 when I read the case management statement, I was a little
16 surprised by how long it is. So I would have proposed shorter,
17 to be honest with you, but...

18 **THE COURT:** Well, I'd like to you meet and confer.
19 I mean, obviously, this is an extraordinary case in the sense
20 that the amount of law involved and the substantive law, and so
21 wholly apart from the complex nature of the factual
22 allegations, this is not a (inaudible) case. So I appreciate,
23 and you know, the Court will want some time, too, to look at
24 this, not the normal period. On the other hand, it needs to be
25 reasonable. So why don't you meet and confer on that, as well,

1 and briefing schedule.

2 And so I guess in terms of ADR, that's -- it's a bit
3 premature, but I do want to put that on the table soon.

4 Perhaps when we -- certainly no later than the hearing on the
5 motion for stay pending appeal, I want to get a good sense of
6 what your plan is and your thinking in terms of ADR and exactly
7 what it is, what the discovery plan is pre-ADR. I mean, I got
8 some hint of that here, and I don't know -- again, you met and
9 conferred, but if this case is not stayed and it proceeds, I do
10 want to phase discovery in the sense of focusing in, at least
11 initially in phase one, on the things that are needed in order
12 to approach meaningfully the first ADR opportunity, and not
13 fire all your guns at once. So if you can come up, again, with
14 a discovery plan.

15 So I will hold a status on the 12th of June, as
16 well, and we'll talk about ADR and discovery -- phased
17 discovery, focusing on ADR. So it's -- you can never start
18 that discussion too early, as far as I'm concerned.

19 All right?

20 **MR. COLE:** Fine, your Honor.

21 **THE COURT:** All right, so we've got a plan. We'll
22 see you on the 15th -- what time is that, Betty? -- 10:30, talk
23 about where we're headed with respect to the motions to dismiss
24 and any second amended complaint, as well as the briefing
25 schedule, and then on the sixth -- June 12th, we'll hear the

1 motion to stay pending appeal, and have a further status on
2 this, too --

3 **MR. SIMON:** That is fine, your Honor.

4 **THE COURT:** -- focused particularly on ADR and
5 discovery, ADR-related discovery.

6 **MR. SIMON:** And as to the unserved defendants, may
7 I request that the Court ask that they --

8 **THE COURT:** I'd like a decision date.

9 **MR. SIMON:** Yeah.

10 **THE COURT:** Within two weeks should be enough time
11 to make that. I don't see why there's any more complication to
12 that. So that would be...

13 Now, I'm going to assume that there's not a problem.
14 If there is a problem, then I want a joint letter explaining
15 what the situation then is on service, and what needs to be
16 done in that regard, because that may affect the rest of the
17 scheduling in this case.

18 But if I don't hear anything, I'm going to assume
19 that service has been accepted by these three defendants and
20 we're going to get to the merits of this case, or stay or
21 whatever, whatever we do, but we're going to not spend more
22 time on just service issues. Okay?

23 **MR. COLE:** Thank you, your Honor.

24 **THE COURT:** All right, thank you.

25 **MR. COLE:** Thank you very much, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. FRANKEL: Thank you.

11:02 a.m.

---o0o---

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

I, Leo Mankiewicz, certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript, to the best of my ability, of the above pages of the official electronic sound recording provided to me by the U.S. District Court, Northern District of California, of the proceedings taken on the date and time previously stated in the above matter.

I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this hearing was taken; and, further, that I am not financially nor otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.



4/24/2014

Signature of Transcriber

Date